

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
Security Committee

SECOM-D-097

3 May 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Physical Security Working Group

FROM:

Chairman

SUBJECT: Physical Security Standards

STAT

1. Attached is a copy of a letter from [redacted]
[redacted] with a proposal that he serve as a consultant to the
SECOM in reviewing NFIB/NFIC-9-1/47. [redacted] explains how he considers
himself specially qualified to participate in such an endeavor.

2. I know that you have a task force now working specifically on the
issue [redacted] seeks to address. Please advise me if, at this point, you
consider it useful to enlist [redacted] aid in reviewing our physical
security standards. I shall take no action until I hear from you.

STAT

Attachment: Letter

Prepared by: SECOM/I [redacted]

2 May 1984

STAT

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee
1 - ICS Registry
1 - SECOM Chrono
✓ - SECOM Subject

STAT

18 April 1984

[redacted]
Chairman
DCI Security Committee
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C.

STAT

[redacted]
Following retirement from the Security Committee, I joined [redacted]
[redacted] in a dual role, the corporate security officer
and as director of a security consulting division.

STAT

STAT
STAT

The dual role has provided me an opportunity to see what happens to the well concerned and intended security policy that the Committee members worked so hard to devise and promulgate. I would certainly recommend a stint in industry as a prerequisite for membership on the Committee. I do not believe the implementation process would be allowed to so widely and variedly modify policy.

I have heard that you plan on initiating a review of the Physical Security Standards for SCIFs (NFIB/NFIC-9-1/47).

I would like to contribute to that effort. There are new and different ways of construction and new and different construction materials that should be recognized and incorporated in prescriptions for security protection of SCI. There also is much to be gained from a review of the format and the manner of presentation of the security standards. The style does make it a little confusing for some people. But those are basically trivial issues compared to the disparate interpretations by members of the Committee when these common standards are implemented and imposed on industry. The variations border on the arbitrary if not the capricious. And the most frustrating issue stems from the lack of a mechanism to offer a rational appeal to the implementing department.

Page Two

18 April 1984

STAT

I would propose to serve as your consultant on the subcommittee or working group established to conduct the review of the physical security standards.

I will, of course, present to you for prior consideration my perceptions, opinions and recommendations. With your approval, I would present these at meetings not as your official position but as those of a consultant whose input is presented for consideration and evaluation. Should any issue come to a vote, I would, of course, abstain.

As an added advantage I could offer meeting and working space [redacted]
[redacted] It is centrally located, close to the
Metro, and has basement parking.

May I hear from you on this matter.

Sincerely,

Director
Special Security Department